TO:

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 or

Commissioner of Trademarks P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451 REPORT ON THE
FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN
ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR
TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

JA .

		the following PATENT			
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT				
06-cv-00445 LTB-PAC	3/13/06	FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO			
PLAINTIFF		DEFENDANT			
eSoft, In	c.	SonicWALL, Inc.			
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
1 6,961,773		Please see copy of Complaint attached hereto			
2					
3		·			
4					
5					
In the abo	ve-entitled case, the following p	patent(s) have been included:			
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	ndment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading			
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK				
1					
2					
3		·			
4					
5					
	ve-entitled case, the following d	decision has been rendered or judgement issued:			
DECISION/JUDGEMENT	Orden 12/1	17/2008			
CLERK GREGORY C.	1.0	Sinda Kahou 1/9/2009			

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO

Civil Action No.: 06-cv-00445-PAB-KLM eSOFT, INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Plaintiff,

٧.

SONICWALL, INC., a California Corporation,

Defendant.

### JOINT REPORT REGARDING THE STATUS OF THE CASE AND THE INTER PARTES REXMAINATION OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,961,773

Pursuant to an Order of this Court dated November 24, 2008 (Docket No. 73), the parties, through their respective counsel, hereby submit this report regarding the status of the case and the *Inter Partes* Reexamination of U.S. Patent No. 6,961,773 ("the '773 patent).

Plaintiff eSoft, Inc. ("eSoft") initiated this action on March 13, 2006, by filing a complaint alleging that SonicWALL infringes the '773 patent. At approximately the same time, eSoft filed separate complaints against five other parties, each complaint alleging infringement of the '773 patent. Those complaints resulted in separate actions against the accused infringers, including Case No. 1:06-cv-EWN-PAC, against defendant Blue Coat Systems ("Blue Coat"); and Case No. 1:06-cv-EWN-MJW, against defendant St. Bernard Systems, Inc ("St. Bernard").

On January 8, 2007, Blue Coat and St. Bernard filed a Request for *Inter Partes*Reexamination with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Blue Coat and St. Bernard requested that the USPTO reexamine the '773 patent in light of eighteen prior art references, and offered arguments that all eight claims of the '773 patent are invalid based on those references.

SonicWALL did not take part in the Request for *Inter Partes* Reexamination. Both Blue Coat and St. Bernard have reached settlement with eSoft and are no longer involved in the *Inter Partes* Reexamination.

On February 12, 2007, the Court granted SonicWALL's motion to stay this action pending the outcome of the *Inter Partes* Reexamination proceedings (Docket No. 67). SonicWALL and eSoft have not engaged in any communication with each other since this case was stayed.

The USPTO granted the Request for *Inter Partes* Reexamination on March 23, 2007 (Application No. 95/000211) and indicated that an office action would follow in due course. The USPTO issued a non-final office action on September 15, 2008, rejecting all claims of the '773 patent as anticipated by numerous prior art references. In particular, the Examiner rejected all eight claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by each of three separate references; rejected all eight claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) and (e) as being anticipated by each of two other references; and rejected numerous individual claims as being anticipated by three additional references.

eSoft filed its response to the office action on November 25, 2008. The Examiner is currently considering that response, and the parties are unable to predict when the Examiner will take further action.

Dated: December 10, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

eSOFT, INC., Plaintiff

By: s/Terence P. Boyle

Terence P. Boyle Boyle/Apelman PC

1775 Sherman Street

**Suite 1375** 

Denver, Colorado 80203 Telephone: (303) 863-8900

Fax: (303) 863-0063

Email: tboyle@ba-lawyers.com

John P. Passarelli

Kutak Rock LLP

1650 Farnam Street

Omaha, NE 68102-2186

Telephone: (402) 346-6000 Facsimile: (402) 346-1148

Email: john.passarelli@kutakrock.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff eSoft, Inc.

SONICWALL, INC., Defendant

s/ S. Michael Song

S. Michael Song

WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI PC

650 Page Mill Road

Palo Alto, CA 94304

Telephone: (650) 493-9300

Facsimile: (650) 565-7222

msong@wsgr.com

Hugh Q. Gottschalk

Wheeler Trigg Kennedy LLP

1801 California Street, Suite 3600 Denver, Colorado 80202-2617

Telephone: (303) 244-1800

Facsimile: (303) 244-1879

gottschalk@wtklaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant

SonicWALL, Inc.

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE (CM/ECF)

I hereby certify that on December 10, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following e-mail addresses:

- John Patrick Passarelli john.passarelli@kutakrock.com shari.mullennax@kutakrock.com
- James C. Yoon jyoon@wsgr.com abaranski@wsgr.com
- S. Michael Song msong@wsgr.com
- Hugh Gottschalk
  gottschalk@wtklaw.com hart@wtklaw.com gottesfeld@wtklaw.com
- Julie M. Walker walker@wtklaw.com mcguire@wtklaw.com

s/Terence P. Boyle
Terence P. Boyle
Boyle/Apelman PC
1775 Sherman Street
Suite 1375
Denver, Colorado 80203
Telephone: (303) 863-8900
Fax: (303) 863-0063

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO Judge Philip A. Brimmer

		_	-
O' 'I A (' N OO	00445 040	ZI 8.4	
Civil Action No. 06-	-CV-00445-PAB-I	KLM.	
07777 7 1041011 1101 00			

ESOFT, INC.

Plaintiff,

٧.

SONICWALL, INC.

Defendant.

#### **ORDER**

On February 12, 2007, the Court ordered this case stayed pending the outcome of an *Inter Partes* Reexamination [Docket No. 67]. On December 10, 2008, pursuant to an order of the Court [Docket No. 73], the parties filed a status report in the case [Docket No. 74]. According to that report, the *Inter Partes* Reexamination is ongoing. With no discernible end-date to the reexamination process and any subsequent appeals, it is

**ORDERED** that this case be administratively closed pursuant to D.C.COLO.LCivR 41.2. The case may be reopened by any party showing good cause.

DATED this 17th day of December, 2008.

BY THE COURT:

s/Philip A. Brimmer PHILIP A. BRIMMER United States District Judge